Exhibit F

RECEIVED

AUG 3 1 2020

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION

S. HARVEY, JR. CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION

RIDLEY PARK FITNESS, LLC

MAY TERM, 2020

Plaintiff

NO. 01093

DOCKETED

Fiainiijj

AUG 31 2020

v.

COMMERCE PROGRAM

S. HARVEY, JR. CONTROL NO. 20080358 CIVIL TRIAL DIVISION

PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendants

:

ORDER

AND NOW, this 31st day of August, 2020, upon consideration of the preliminary objections filed by defendant Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance Company to plaintiff's amended complaint, and any response thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED

that the preliminary objections are OVERRULED, without prejudice.¹



This litigation arises from the denial of insurance coverage for business losses at a fitness center as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting state and local orders mandating that all non-essential businesses be temporarily closed. Defendant alleges in the instant preliminary objections that plaintiff's failure to attach the insurance agreement in total constitutes a failure to plead, which defendant has cured by attaching the agreement in full, that certain clauses including a virus exclusion and "direct physical loss" bar coverage, and finally, that plaintiff is not entitled to a declaratory judgment.

At this very early stage, it would be premature for this court resolve the factual determinations put forth by defendants to dismiss plaintiff's claims. Taking the factual allegations made in plaintiff's complaint as true, as this court must at this time, plaintiff has

¹ Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(4), a party may raise a preliminary objection due to legal insufficiency of a pleading (demurrer). When considering preliminary objections, all material facts and reasonable inferences set forth in the challenged complaint must be admitted as true. Haun v. Cmty. Health Sys. Inc., 14 A.3d 120, 123 (Pa. Super. 2011) (citation omitted). A court may not consider facts that are not contained within the challenged pleading. See Detweiler v. School Dist. Of Borough of Hatfield, et al., 104 A.2d 110, 113 (Pa. 1954). Additionally, a court need not accept conclusions of law. See Dominski v. Garrett, 419 A.2d 73, 75 (Pa. Super. 1980).

BY THE COURT:

GLAZER, J.

successfully pled to survive this stage of the proceedings. As such, the preliminary objections are overruled.